

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/651,322	08/28/2003	Yong Pan	8441C	7803
27752 7	7590 01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			PARADISO, JOHN ROGER	
	JAL PROPERTY DIVI L TECHNICAL CENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	R HILL AVENUE		3721	
CINCINNATI,	, ОН 45224		DATE MAILED: 01/26/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(de	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
	10/651,322	PAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John R. Paradiso	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Dly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 №</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the con</li></ul>	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matte	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-34 is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 and 37-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		,
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	4) ☐ Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (FTO-932)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	Mail Date  brmal Patent Application (PTO-152)  -	

Application/Control Number: 10/651,322

Art Unit: 3721

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

1. In view of the amendments filed 11/9/2005, the objections to the claims and the rejections to the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are hereby withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 37-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CARR ET AL (US 6132781) in view of COLOMBO (US 6112890).

CARR ET AL discloses an atmosphere modifying device including an oxygen scavenger (28) (CARR ET AL column 6:16-25 and Fig. 5B) and a carbon dioxide emitter (40) (CARR ET AL column 3:26-36, 7:1-16, and Fig. 4).

CARR ET AL does not specifically disclose the atmosphere modifying device as being placed (wholly) in the produce container, nor does it disclose the CO2 emitter as being the specified carbonate or acid, or of the ratio or particle size of said components.

COLOMBO discloses an atmosphere modifying device including a carbon dioxide emitter (16) adapted to be placed within a container (10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of CARR ET AL by using a completely enclosable carbon dioxide emitter as part of the atmosphere modifying device, as taught by COLOMBO, in order to increase the flexibility and thus marketability of the system.

It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the CO2 emitter of a carbonate from the claimed group and an organic acid, in order to simply and cheaply create CO2, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use (in this case a common chemical reaction) as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mix the carbonate and acid in the modified invention of CARR ET AL in the claimed ratios in order to produce the most efficacious amount of CO2, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the particle size of the CO2 emitter of CARR ET AL in the claimed dimensions in order to most effectively produce the desired CO2, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 8, the phrase "oxygen scavenger removes at a rate of about 5 cubic centimeters an hour or greater" is considered functional language and given little patentable weight.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/9/2005 have been fully considered but are considered moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 11 and 12 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art could not alone or in combination anticipate or make obvious an atmosphere modifying device with a first compartment containing a CO<sub>2</sub> emitter, a second compartment containing an O<sub>2</sub> scavenger, and a third compartment containing an ethylene scavenger.

The most pertinent prior art, CARR ET AL and SCHVESTER ET AL, disclose the individual components of the CO<sub>2</sub> emitter, O<sub>2</sub> scavenger, and ethylene scavenger, but do not disclose putting them in separate compartments within an enclosure with gas permeable dividers.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examinet John Paradiso: (703) 308-2825

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

January 23, 2006

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada: (703) Fax (directly to Examiner) (703)

(703) 308-2187 (703) 746-3253

Fax (Official):

(571) 273-8300